## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/652,746	WATKINS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

zororo uno i ming or uni appour ziror	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael C. Miggins	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai , or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request	
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	-			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because the final rejection, because the final rejection, because the final rejection, because the final rejection, because of the final rejection of the final	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	nada bolow of appointed.			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>54-64 and 75-85</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented.  Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicant has alleged hindsight reasoning. However, on references are drawn to polyurethanes and Camilleri pre references which says that one of ordinary skill could no	e of ordinary skill does not need ap events gel formation in polyurethane	plicant's specification  . There is also nothi	because bothing in the	
polyurethane of Bonk.  12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:				
IN ALL TO A MALL THE TAIL TO A MALL THE TAIL THE THE TAIL				
/Michael C. Miggins/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794				

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20080206